

# The multifarious Cantor set

JIWU JANG

June 14 – July 20, 2023

This is a note on a series of lectures on Cantor sets, given by Prof. Vitaly Bergelson, accompanied by various “What is ...?” seminars at Ohio State University.

## §1 Cantor set

**Definition 1.1.** The Cantor set  $\mathcal{C}$  is created by iteratively deleting the open middle third from a set of line segments.

One starts by deleting the open middle third  $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$  from the interval  $[0, 1]$ , leaving two line segments:  $[0, \frac{1}{3}] \cup [\frac{2}{3}, 1]$ .

Next, the open middle third of each of these remaining segments is deleted, leaving four line segments:  $[0, \frac{1}{9}] \cup [\frac{2}{9}, \frac{1}{3}] \cup [\frac{2}{3}, \frac{7}{9}] \cup [\frac{8}{9}, 1]$ .

The Cantor set contains all points in the interval  $[0, 1]$  that are not deleted at any step in this infinite process.

Formally, we define  $\mathcal{C} := \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_i$  where  $\mathcal{C}_i$  is the set after each iteration.

**Definition 1.2.** We define  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, r-1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  to be the set of all infinite sequences  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with entries from  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, r-1\}$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Alternatively, we define

$$\mathcal{C} := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{t_i}{3^i} \mid t_i \in \{0, 2\} \right\}$$

that is, we take all ternary expansions of the number  $x \in [0, 1]$  whose digits only consist of  $\{0, 2\}$ . Hence, by Cantor’s diagonalization argument,  $\mathcal{C}$  is uncountable.

In other words,  $|\mathcal{C}| = |\{0, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}| = |2^{\mathbb{N}}| = |\mathbb{R}|$ .

Moreover, there is a natural map between  $\mathcal{C} \cong \{0, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , where  $x \in \mathcal{C}$  if and only if there exists a ternary expansion of  $x$  that only uses the digits 0 and 2, and if there are multiple ternary expansions, then at most one can only use the digits 0 and 2.

**Definition 1.4.** We say a set  $S$  is *countable* if a bijection can be formed between the sets  $S$  and  $\mathbb{N}$ .

### Theorem 1.5

$\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  is countable. (Thus,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable as well.)

*Proof.* Consider the spiral walk starting at  $(0, 0)$ , visiting every element in the lattice plane. Thus, we have formed a bijection.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.6** (Cantor's diagonalization method)

$\mathcal{C}$  is uncountable.

*Proof.* Assume for the sake of contradiction that  $\mathcal{C}$  was countable.

Then, we may write down the elements in  $\mathcal{C}$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{C} = \begin{cases} a_{11}a_{12}a_{13} \dots \\ a_{21}a_{22}a_{23} \dots \\ a_{31}a_{32}a_{33} \dots \\ \vdots \end{cases}$$

Consider  $\tilde{a}_{11}, \tilde{a}_{22}, \dots$  where each  $\tilde{a}_{ii}$  is the “flip” of  $a_{ii}$ . Then, the flipped sequence cannot appear anywhere in our table, because it must meet with the diagonal, yet when they meet the digits differ, contradiction.  $\square$

**§1.1 Exercises and Problems**

**Definition 1.7.** For a binary operator  $*$ , define  $\mathcal{C} * \mathcal{C} := \{x * y \mid x, y \in \mathcal{C}\}$  where  $x * y$  is properly defined. (e.g. no division by zero.)

**Exercise 1.8.** Show  $\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{C} = [0, 2]$  and  $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} = [-1, 1]$ .

*Proof.* For  $\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{C}$ , let  $S = \{x + y \mid x, y \in \mathcal{C}/2\}$ , and note that

$$S = \{x + y \mid x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{3^i}; y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i}{3^i} \text{ where } a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

but for each digit of  $x + y$ , we have  $\{0, 1\} + \{0, 1\} = \{0, 1, 2\}$ , thus we can represent every number in  $[0, 1]$ , since every number in  $[0, 1]$  has a base-3 expansion  $0.c_1c_2 \dots_{(3)}$  where  $c_i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Hence,  $S = [0, 1]$ . Now, simply multiplying each element in  $S$  by two gives  $\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{C} = 2S = [0, 2]$ , and we are done.

For  $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}$ , the statement is very similar, but we shall perform the balanced ternary expansion. Let  $S = \{x - y \mid x, y \in \mathcal{C}/2\}$ , and now note that

$$S = \{x - y \mid x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{3^i}; y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_i}{3^i} \text{ where } a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

but for each digit of  $x + y$ , we have  $\{0, 1\} - \{0, 1\} = \{-1, 0, 1\}$ , thus we can represent every number in  $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ , since every number in  $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$  has a balanced base-3 expansion  $0.c_1c_2 \dots_{(3)}$  where  $c_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ . Hence,  $S = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ . Now, simply multiplying each element in  $S$  by two gives  $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C} = 2S = [-1, 1]$ , and we are done.  $\square$

**Problem 1.9.** Show  $\mathcal{C} \cdot \mathcal{C} = [0, 1]$  and  $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ .

*Proof.* For  $\mathcal{C} \cdot \mathcal{C}$ , we have  $\{0, 2\} \cdot \{0, 2\} = \{0, 2, 4\}$ , but in modulo 3 it is equivalent to  $\{0, 1, 2\}$  except for the fact that it adds a carry; but that can be handled with induction until the  $k^{\text{th}}$  digit, so  $\mathcal{C} \cdot \mathcal{C} = [0, 1]$  since it includes every base-3 expansion of elements in  $[0, 1]$ .

For  $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{C}$ , intuitively, although  $\mathcal{C}$  does not produce every real number in  $[0, 1]$ , for a given positive real number  $r$ , we may create a sequence that approximates and converges to  $r$ , by “enhancing the approximation” in every step of adding another digit. (We should formalize this notion.)  $\square$

**Exercise 1.10.** Show that  $\frac{1}{4} \in \mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof.* We have  $\frac{1}{4} = 2 \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{9^k} \right)$ , thus we are done.  $\square$

**Exercise 1.11.** Show that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \notin \mathcal{C}$ .

**Problem 1.12.** Is there a quadratic irrational in  $\mathcal{C}$ ?

**Exercise 1.13.** Prove that  $|[0, 1]| = |\mathbb{R}|$ . We say that  $[0, 1]$  is *equinumerous* with  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Use  $\tan^{-1}((x - \frac{1}{2})\pi)$  to create a bijection between  $(0, 1)$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ , then since  $|[0, 1]| = |(0, 1)|$  because  $(0, 1)$  only excludes two points 0 and 1, conclude.  $\square$

**Exercise 1.14.** Prove that  $|[0, 1] \times [0, 1]| = |[0, 1]|$ .

*Proof.* Consider two arbitrary numbers in  $[0, 1]$ , let them be  $a$  and  $b$ . Then, take the binary expansion of  $a = 0.a_1a_2a_3\dots$  and  $b = 0.b_1b_2b_3\dots$ . Moreover, send it to  $c = 0.a_1b_1a_2b_2a_3b_3\dots$ , and take the canonical representation only. Thus we formed a bijection, and we are done.  $\square$

**Exercise 1.15.** Prove that  $|[0, 1]| = |\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}|$ .

*Proof.* By the previous two exercises, we are done.  $\square$

## §2 Properties of $\mathcal{C}$

Here are some properties of  $\mathcal{C}$ :

1.  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed. (Intersection of arbitrarily many closed sets is closed.)
2.  $\mathcal{C}$  is *nowhere dense*. In particular,  $\mathcal{C}$  does not contain  $[a, b]$  for some  $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$ .
3.  $\mathcal{C}$  is “arithmetically large”.
4.  $\mathcal{C}$  has measure zero ( $\int_{\mathcal{C}} d\mu = 0$ ), since its complement has measure one.
5.  $\mathcal{C}$  is uncountable. ( $|\mathcal{C}| = |\mathbb{R}| = |[0, 1]|$ )

**Remark.**  $\mathcal{C}$  is *large* in some senses, but is also *small* in other senses.

**Definition 2.1.** A *Borel set* is any set in a topological space that can be formed from open sets (or, equivalently, from closed sets) through the operations of

- countable union
- countable intersection
- relative complement (with respect to the parent set)

**Definition 2.2.** For a topological space  $X$ , the collection of all Borel sets on  $X$  forms a  $\sigma$ -algebra, known as the *Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra*, often denoted as  $\mathfrak{B}$ . The Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all open sets (or, equivalently, all closed sets).

**Theorem 2.3**

We have  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathfrak{B}$ , where  $\mathfrak{B}$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra.

*Proof.*  $\mathcal{C}$  is a countable intersection of closed sets  $\mathcal{C}_i$ , and thus  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed. Hence  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathfrak{B}$  by definition of a Borel set.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4**

The set of algebraic numbers is countable, hence there exists transcendental numbers in  $\mathbb{R}$  and beyond. (e.g.  $\pi$  and  $e$  are transcendental.)

*Proof.* This follows from some observations:

1. The union of countably many countable sets is countable. (e.g.  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ )
2. Fix degree  $d \geq 0$ , and consider  $S_d$ , which are the set of all possible roots of degree  $d$  polynomials with integer coefficients.
3. The polynomial  $a_d x^d + a_{d-1} x^{d-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  for  $a_d \neq 0$  has at most  $d$  roots (Fundamental Theorem of Algebra).

Now, since each coefficient is countable, the union of countably many such set of coefficients is countable, hence  $S_d$  is countable as well.  $\square$

### §3 Devil's staircase function

**Definition 3.1.** The Cantor function, also known as the “devil’s staircase function”, is defined on the complement of Cantor set in  $[0, 1]$ , then extended by “filling in” the removed intervals by continuity.

Formally, the Cantor function  $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined as

$$c(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{2^n}, & x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2a_n}{3^n} \in \mathcal{C} \text{ for } a_n \in \{0, 1\}; \\ \sup_{y \leq x, y \in \mathcal{C}} c(y), & x \in [0, 1] \setminus \mathcal{C}. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 3.2.** A set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  has measure zero if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , it can be covered by a finite or countable family of intervals  $\mathcal{I}$  with total length  $< \varepsilon$ .

Formally,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \mathcal{I} = \{I_1, I_2, \dots\}$  where  $I_i \subset \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $S \subseteq \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} I$  and  $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} |I| < \varepsilon$  where  $|I|$  denotes the length (and measure) of interval  $I$ .

**Example 3.3**

$\mathbb{Q}$  has measure zero.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{Q} = \{r_1, r_2, \dots\}$  since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable.

Then, for some intervals  $J_i$  such that  $r_i \in J_i$ , we have  $|J_i| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{i+1}}$ .

Thus,  $\sum |J_i| < \varepsilon$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Hence,  $\mathbb{Q}$  has measure zero.  $\square$

**Example 3.4**

$\mathcal{C}$  has measure zero (which we previously handwaved this by saying that its complement is of length 1).

*Proof.* Because  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_i$ , we may consider the measure of the interval  $\mathcal{C}_i$ : observe that the total length of  $\mathcal{C}_i$  is bounded above by  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{3^i}$ , hence  $\mu(\mathcal{C}) = 0$ .  $\square$

Here are some properties that the Cantor function  $c(x)$  satisfies:

1.  $c'(x) = 0$  almost everywhere, meaning that  $\{x \mid c'(x) = 0\}$  is of measure zero.

**§3.1 Exercises and Problems**

**Exercise 3.5.** Prove that the arc length of  $c(x)$  is 2.

*Proof.* Since  $c(x)$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$ , by using the triangle inequality, we get that the arc length of  $c(x)$  is at most  $1 + 1 = 2$ .

Now, the union of the segments for which  $c'(x) = 0$  is of measure 1, and for every other point for which the derivative is not defined, i.e.,  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ , we project it to the  $y$ -axis. Then, since each projected number on the  $y$ -axis is  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_i}{2^i}$  where  $a_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ , it is equivalent to  $[0, 1]$ . Hence, the arc length is at least 2.

Thus, the arc length of  $c(x)$  is 2.  $\square$

**Exercise 3.6.** Show that it does not matter if we choose  $J_\tau$  to be open, closed, half-open, or half-closed.

*Proof.* Changing a side of an interval from closed to open excludes only one point, which has measure zero, so the result follows.  $\square$

**Exercise 3.7.** Define (carefully) the notion of  $\mu = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and show that all reasonable definitions are equivalent.

**Definition 3.8.** We say that a set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  has measure zero, denoted as  $\mu(S) = 0$ , if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \mathcal{B} = \{B_1, B_2, \dots\}$  where  $B_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  s.t.  $S \subseteq \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$  and  $\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} |B| < \varepsilon$  where  $|B|$  denotes the measure of the open ball  $B$ .

**Exercise 3.9.**  $c'(x)$  is zero on a measure 1 subset of  $[0, 1]$ . In particular, it is 0 on the interior of the complement of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof.* Because  $\mu(A) = \mu(A - B) + \mu(B)$  for any measurable sets  $A$  and  $B$ , we have

$$\mu([0, 1]) = \mu([0, 1] - \mathcal{C}) + \mu(\mathcal{C})$$

hence  $1 = \mu([0, 1] - \mathcal{C}) + 0$ , but  $c'(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1] - \mathcal{C}$ , thus we are done.  $\square$

**Exercise 3.10.** Prove that  $\int_{[0,1]} c'(x) d\mu = 0$ .

*Proof.* The derivative of the Cantor function, denoted as  $c'(x)$ , exists almost everywhere and is zero almost everywhere, except for the Cantor set, where it is undefined.

To find the integral of  $c'(x)$  over  $[0, 1]$ , we need to consider the Lebesgue integral. The Lebesgue integral takes into account the measure of sets when integrating functions.

Since  $c'(x)$  is zero almost everywhere, we can consider the integral over the complement of the Cantor set. Let's denote the complement of the Cantor set as  $A$ .

Then,  $\mu(A) = \mu([0, 1]) - \mu(\mathcal{C}) = 1$  since  $A$  is the entire interval  $[0, 1] \setminus \mathcal{C}$  and  $\mu(\mathcal{C}) = 0$ . Now, integrate  $c'(x)$  over  $A$ , that is,

$$\int_A c'(x) d\mu = \int_A 0 d\mu = 0$$

hence,

$$\int_{[0,1]} c'(x) d\mu = \int_A c'(x) d\mu = 0$$

and we are done. □

**Remark.** The function  $f'$  is certainly *not* Riemann-integrable, since it is undefined at the Cantor set.

**Exercise 3.11.**

$$\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{C}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{C} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \mathcal{C} \end{cases}$$

is Riemann-integrable.

**Exercise 3.12.** For any countable set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a monotone function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that the set of its discontinuities is  $S$ .

**Exercise 3.13.** Most continuous functions are nowhere differentiable.

**Remark.** Monotone functions are much better than general continuous functions.

**Exercise 3.14.** Any monotone function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable almost everywhere.

## §4 Metric spaces

**Definition 4.1.** A set  $X \neq \emptyset$  is called a metric space, if there is a metric  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

1.  $d(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y$
2.  $d(x, y) = d(y, x) \quad \forall x, y \in X$
3.  $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X$  (triangle inequality)

**Example 4.2**

Here are some examples of metric spaces:

- Any set  $X$  equipped with the discrete metric

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq y \end{cases}$$

- $X = C[0, 1]$  equipped with the metric

$$d(f, g) = \max_x (f(x) - g(x))$$

( $C[0, 1]$  denotes the set of all continuous functions  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ )

- $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ , equipped with the metric

$$d_p(x, y) = \sqrt[p]{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i - y_i|^p}$$

for sequences  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$  where  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . (note that we do not include  $p = \infty$ , which we define below.)

- $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ , equipped with the metric  $d_\infty(x, y) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i - y_i|$ .
- $\ell_2 = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) \mid x_i \in \mathbb{R} \ \forall i \geq 1 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^\infty |x_i|^2 < \infty\}$  equipped with the metric

$$d(x, y) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^\infty (x_i - y_i)^2}$$

- $X = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , equipped with the metric

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \frac{|x_i - y_i|}{2^i}$$

where  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . (the  $p$ -adic metric.)

**§4.1 Exercises and Problems**

**Exercise 4.3.** Prove that  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} d_p = d_\infty$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a_i = |x_i - y_i|$  and  $a = \max_{i=1}^n a_i$ , then  $d_p > a$  and  $d_p < (a^n)^{\frac{1}{p}} = a n^{\frac{1}{p}}$ , and thus  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} d_p = a$  by the squeeze theorem, so  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} d_p = d_\infty$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 4.4.** Prove that  $d_p$  is indeed a metric on  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* Use Minkowski's inequality to prove that  $d_p$  satisfies the triangle inequality; other properties are easy to show. (Fun exercise, try deriving Minkowski's inequality from Hölder's inequality.)  $\square$

**Exercise 4.5.** Is there  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{C} \cap (\mathcal{C} - \varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$  for  $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ ?

*Proof.* We may shift everything by  $\varepsilon = \frac{2}{3^2}$ , which would obviously lend some numbers to be in the intersection, hence we are done.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.6**

A function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Riemann-integrable if and only if it is bounded and the set of discontinuities of  $f$  has measure zero.

**Exercise 4.7.** Prove that any monotone function has at most countably many discontinuities.

**Exercise 4.8.** Prove that any continuous function is Riemann-integrable.

**Exercise 4.9.** Show that any monotone function is Riemann-integrable.

**Exercise 4.10.** Prove that there exists a *strictly monotone* function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f(1) = 1$ , and  $f'(x) = 0$  for almost every  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi$  be a generalized Cantor step function. Take

$$f(x) = c \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(nx)}{2^n}$$

where  $f(0) = 0$  and

$$f(1) = c \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(n)}{2^n}$$

where  $c$  is such that  $f(1) = 1$ . Then,

$$f'(x) = \left( c \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(nx)}{2^n} \right)' = c \cdot \sum \frac{n \cdot \varphi'(nx)}{2^n}$$

by little Fubini's theorem. For  $x_1 < x_2$ , pick  $n$  such that  $nx_1$  and  $nx_2$  lie on different unit intervals, then  $\varphi(nx_1 + 1) \leq \varphi(nx_2)$ , so we are done.  $\square$

**Problem 4.11.** Is there a continuous yet nowhere monotone function?

**Remark** (Musings). An example of a continuous yet nowhere differentiable function is the Weierstrass functions  $f := \sum a^n \sin(b^n x)$ .

**Definition 4.12.** Two metric spaces  $(X_1, d_1)$  and  $(X_2, d_2)$  are *isometric* if  $\exists \varphi : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  such that  $\forall a, b \in X_1$ ,

$$d_2(\varphi(a), \varphi(b)) = d_1(a, b)$$

**Remark.** Isometry is the simplest form of isomorphism. (There are other types of isomorphisms as well.)

**Definition 4.13.** We say two sets  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are *homeomorphic* if  $\exists \varphi : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  s.t.  $\varphi$  is bijective and bicontinuous (i.e.,  $\varphi$  is continuous, and its inverse is also continuous).



**Corollary 4.14**

Homeomorphisms preserve limits, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(\lim a_n) &= \lim(\varphi(a_n)) \\ \varphi^{-1}(\lim b_n) &= \lim(\varphi^{-1}(b_n))\end{aligned}$$

where  $(a_n) \subseteq X_1$  and  $(b_n) \subseteq X_2$ .

**Example 4.15**

$[0, 1]$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  are homeomorphic, since we may consider the ray from the half circle to the real line, where maps are bijective and bicontinuous.

**Example 4.16**

$(0, 1)$  and  $[0, 1]$  are not homeomorphic, since  $[0, 1]$  is compact while  $(0, 1)$  is not.

**Example 4.17**

$(\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}, d) \sim \mathcal{C}$ , since  $\exists \varphi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $0 \mapsto 0$  and  $2 \mapsto 1$ , which preserves the distance metric between  $\mathcal{C} \sim \{0, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}} \sim \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  (hence they are homeomorphic).

**Example 4.18**

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric. Then  $X$  can be given a topology via  $B_r(x) := \{y \mid d(x, y) < r\}$  is open  $\forall r \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 4.19.** A topological space is Hausdorff (T2-separable) if  $\forall x, y \exists U_1 \ni x, U_2 \ni y$  such that  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ .

**Exercise 4.20.** For a compact Hausdorff topology, one cannot remove or add points and preserve both compactness and Hausdorff.

**Exercise 4.21.** Prove that if  $X, Y$  are Hausdorff, then  $X \times Y$  is also Hausdorff.

**Exercise 4.22.** Find a topology  $\tau$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\forall f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f$  is continuous under  $\mathbb{R}_\tau \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{standard}}$ .

Take  $\tau = 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ .

**Exercise 4.23.** Find a topology  $\tau$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\forall f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f$  is continuous under  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{standard}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_\tau$ .

Take  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X\}$  (the trivial topology).

**Exercise 4.24.** Prove that if  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a family of  $|\mathbb{R}|$  sets, then  $|\bigcup A_i| = |\mathbb{R}|$ .

**Exercise 4.25.** Let  $\pi_1 : X \times Y \rightarrow X$  via  $(x, y) \mapsto x$ . Show that  $\pi_1$  is continuous. Define  $\pi_2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U \subseteq X$  be open. Then,  $\pi_1^{-1}(U) = \{(x, y) \mid x \in U\} = U \times Y$ , which is open. □

**Theorem 4.26**

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topology of a metric space. Then, the following two definitions of compactness are equivalent:

- Let  $A \subseteq X$  such that  $|A| = \infty$ . Then  $A$  has a limit point.
- Let  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subseteq X$ , then  $\exists i_k$  where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_{i_k}$  converges to  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 4.27.** Consider the function  $f(x) = \lambda x(1 - x)$  where  $\lambda > 4$ . We delete the interval of  $x$  such that  $f(x) > 1$ . Repeat this iteration infinitely many times, and observe the part that still remains. We call this the *generalized Cantor set*, denoted as  $\mathcal{C}_\lambda$ .

**Example 4.28**

The classical middle 3<sup>rd</sup> Cantor set  $\mathcal{C}$  is actually  $\mathcal{C}_{\frac{9}{2}}$ .

**Exercise 4.29.** Prove that the generalized Cantor set  $\mathcal{C}_\lambda$  has measure zero,  $\forall \lambda > 4$ .

*Proof.* Denote the measure of the deleted open intervals in the  $n^{\text{th}}$  iteration as  $a_n$ . Then, for the first iteration, we delete the interval

$$\left( \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{\lambda}}}{2}, \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{\lambda}}}{2} \right)$$

which is of measure  $\sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{\lambda}}$ , which we denote as  $k$ . Then, since  $k$  is also the proportion of the measure of the deleted interval over the entire interval  $[0, 1]$ , we have  $a_1 = k$ ,  $a_2 = 2^1 \cdot \frac{1-k}{2} \cdot k$ , and in general,

$$a_n = 2^{n-1} k \left( \frac{1-k}{2} \right)^{n-1} = k(1-k)^{n-1}$$

But then,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = 1$  regardless of  $k$ , hence the complement of the deleted intervals, which is precisely  $\mathcal{C}_\lambda$ , has measure zero. □

**Exercise 4.30.** Prove that all such generalized Cantor sets are homeomorphic to the classical middle 3<sup>rd</sup> Cantor set.

*Proof.* The  $n^{\text{th}}$  iteration generates exactly  $2^n$  boundary points, all of which are totally disconnected; hence we may form a bicontinuous bijection between each point in the Cantor set  $\mathcal{C}$  and the generalized Cantor set  $\mathcal{C}_\lambda$ . □

## §5 Perfect sets

**Definition 5.1.** A point  $x$  is called an *isolated point* of a subset  $S$  (in a topological space  $X$ ) if  $x$  is an element of  $S$  and there exists a neighborhood of  $x$  that does not contain any other points of  $S$ .

This is equivalent to saying that the singleton  $\{x\}$  is an open set in the topological space  $S$  (considered as a subspace of  $X$ ).

Another equivalent formulation is the following: an element  $x$  of  $S$  is an isolated point of  $S$  if and only if it is not a limit point of  $S$ .

**Definition 5.2.** A subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *perfect* if it is closed and has no isolated points.

**Definition 5.3.** A *totally disconnected* space is a topological space that has only singletons as connected subsets.

In every topological space, the singletons (and, when it is considered connected, the empty set) are connected; in a totally disconnected space, these are the only connected subsets.

**Example 5.4**

The Cantor set  $\mathcal{C}$  is a perfect set, but is also *totally disconnected*.

**Example 5.5**

Other examples of perfect subsets of the  $\mathbb{R}$  are the empty set, all closed intervals, and  $\mathbb{R}$  itself.

## §6 Szemerédi's theorem

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ . Define the upper density of  $E$  as

$$\bar{d}(E) := \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|E \cap \{-N, \dots, N\}|}{2N + 1}$$

and the lower density of  $E$  as

$$\underline{d}(E) := \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|E \cap \{-N, \dots, N\}|}{2N + 1}$$

**Definition 6.2.** When  $\bar{d}(E) = \underline{d}(E) = e$ ,  $d(E) = e$  is the natural density of  $E$ .

**Example 6.3**

For  $E = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $d(E) = 1$ .

**Example 6.4**

For  $E = n\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $d(E) = \frac{1}{n}$ .

**Example 6.5**

For  $E = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \{j \mid 2^{2n} < j < 2^{2n+1}\}$ ,  $\bar{d}(E) = \frac{2}{3}$  and  $\underline{d}(E) = \frac{1}{3}$ , so  $d(E)$  is undefined.

**Example 6.6**

$\bar{d}$  and  $\underline{d}$  are not additive. (Think of  $\mathbb{N} - E$  and  $E$  in the previous example. They clearly don't add up.)

**Remark.** However,  $\bar{d}$  is sub-additive. Moreover,  $d$  is also invariant under translation.

**Conjecture 6.7** (Erdős, Turán, 1936). If  $d(E) > 0$ , then  $E$  contains arithmetic progressions of arbitrary length, i.e.,  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \exists a \in E, b \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $\{a + mb \mid 0 \leq m \leq k\} \subseteq E$ .

**Theorem 6.8** (Szemerédi, 1975)

If  $\bar{d}(E) > 0$ , then  $E$  contains arithmetic progressions of arbitrary length.

**Example 6.9** (Szemerédi as a *shift*)

$A \cap A - k \cap A - 2k \neq \emptyset \implies A$  contains  $\{x, x + k, x + 2k\}$ .

**Definition 6.10.** We define the indicator function

$$\mathbb{1}_A(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n \in A \\ 0 & n \notin A \end{cases}$$

This is linked to Cantor sets in the following way:

**Remark.** For any  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{1}_S(n) \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \sim \mathcal{C}$ .

**Theorem 6.11** (Furstenberg, 1977)

For  $E \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\exists(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu, T)$ , where  $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$  is a probability space and  $T$  is a measure-preserving transformation, i.e.,  $\mu(T^{-1}E) = \mu(E) \quad \forall E \in \mathfrak{B}$ . Then,  $\exists A \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$  such that  $\mu(A) = \bar{d}(E)$  and

$$\bar{d}(E - n_1 \cap E - n_2 \cap \dots \cap E - n_k) \geq \mu(T^{-n_1}A \cap T^{-n_2}A \cap \dots \cap T^{-n_k}A) \quad \forall n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

**Theorem 6.12** (Riesz representation)

Any positive linear functional on  $C(x)$  where  $x$  is compact Hausdorff can be represented by integration with respect to some positive measure.

**Theorem 6.13** (Gelfand representation)

If  $C$  is a commutative  $C^*$ -algebra with spectrum  $X$ , then  $\exists \gamma : C \rightarrow C(x)$  which is an isometric  $*$ -isomorphism.

**Theorem 6.14** (Hahn-Banach)

If  $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is sublinear (where  $X$  is a vector space) and  $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $X \supseteq Y$  with  $f \leq p$ , then  $\exists F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $F|_Y = f$ ,  $F \leq p$  and  $F$  linear.

*Proof.*  $\{E\}$  is countable, so  $\{E - n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is countable.

Hence,  $\Xi = \{E - n_1 \cap E - n_2 \cap \dots \cap E - n_k \mid n_i \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is countable. Take  $E' \in \Xi$ , and define

$$L(\mathbb{1}_{E'}) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|E' \cap \{-N_i, \dots, N_i\}|}{2N_i + 1}$$

We have  $L(\mathbb{1}_{E'}) \leq \bar{d}(E')$ , because we have a limit of a subsequence of the lim sup of the original sequence.

Note that  $L$  is additive in  $\mathbb{1}_\Xi$  in the sense of measure, that is,

$$L(E' \cup E'') = L(E') + L(E'') \text{ for } E' \cap E'' = \emptyset$$

Generate an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $\mathbb{1}_\Xi$ , and by Hahn-Banach,  $L$  extends to  $\mathcal{A}$ .

By Gelfand,  $\mathcal{A} \cong C(X)$ .

By Riesz,  $L = \int - d\mu$ .

From  $\mu(A) = \bar{d}(E)$ , we have  $\mu(A) = \int \mathbb{1}_A d\mu$  and  $\bar{d}(E) = L(E)$ , hence  $\int \mathbb{1}_A d\mu = L(E)$ , which is true by diagonalization procedure.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^k E - n_i\right) &\geq L\left(\mathbb{1}_{\bigcap_{i=1}^k E - n_i}\right) \\ &= \int \prod \mathbb{1}_{T^{-n_i} A} d\mu \\ &= \mu(T^{-n_1} A \cap T^{-n_2} A \cap \dots \cap T^{-n_k} A) \end{aligned}$$

□

### Corollary 6.15

Szemerédi's theorem reduces to proving for any  $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu, T)$ ,  $A \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\mu(A) > 0$ , and  $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$$\mu(A \cap T^{-m} A \cap T^{-2m} A \cap \dots \cap T^{-km} A) > 0$$

*Proof.* H. Furstenberg, "Ergodic behavior of diagonal measures and a theorem of Szemerédi." □

A generalization of ergodic multidimensional Szemerédi is as follows:

### Theorem 6.16 (Ergodic multidimensional Szemerédi)

For any  $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu, T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k)$  where  $A \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\mu(A) > 0$ , and  $T_i$  commuting, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\mu(A \cap T_1^{-m} A \cap T_2^{-m} A \cap \dots \cap T_k^{-m} A) > 0$$

From now on, consider  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ , which does not change anything.

Let  $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\sigma : x(n) \rightarrow x(n+1)$ .

**Exercise 6.17.** Prove that the underlying metric

$$d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{|x(i) - y(i)|}{2^{|i|}}$$

is indeed a metric. (Intuitively, we compare a neighborhood centered around 0 between two sequences.)

**Exercise 6.18.** Prove that  $\sigma$  is a homeomorphism of  $\Omega$ .

**Exercise 6.19.** Prove that  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  are homeomorphic.

**Example 6.20** (Iterations of  $\sigma$ )

Consider the set  $X_A = \overline{\{\sigma^k(\mathbb{1}_A(n)) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}} \subseteq \Omega = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . (It's an orbital closure, which contains all of its limit points.)

**Example 6.21**

We have exactly two sets  $A = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $A = \emptyset$  such that  $X_A$  is a singleton. We have  $A = 2\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $X_A$  has two elements.

**Exercise 6.22** (Bruce). Prove that  $X_A$  is finite if and only if  $A$  is periodic.

**Exercise 6.23.** Classify when  $X_A$  can be countable?

**Definition 6.24.** A word is a finitely many consecutive  $\{0, 1\}$ -digits.

**Exercise 6.25.** Prove that  $X_A = \Omega$  if and only if  $A$  (as a binary sequence) has any finite binary word as a substring.

**Definition 6.26.** A word  $\omega$  has *correct frequency* if the frequency of  $\omega$  is  $\frac{1}{2^{|\omega|}}$ .

**Definition 6.27.**  $\omega \in \Omega$  is *normal* if every subword has correct frequency. For convenience,  $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

**Problem 6.28** (Champernowne). Prove that the constant  $c = 0.123456789101112\dots$  is decimal normal.

**Theorem 6.29**

For any integer polynomial  $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , the sequence

$$0.f(1)f(2)f(3)\dots$$

(where we concatenate digits) is normal.

**Problem 6.30.** Are most random sequences normal? What is the proportion of normal random sequences?

**Problem 6.31.** Is  $0.1491625364964\dots$  normal?

**Problem 6.32.** Is  $0.235711131719232931\dots$  normal?

**Problem 6.33.** Is  $e = 2.71828\dots$  normal?

**Problem 6.34.** Is  $\pi = 3.141592\dots$  normal?

**Problem 6.35** (Euler-Mascheroni). Is  $\gamma = 0.577215664901532\dots$  normal?

**Theorem 6.36** (Borel)

The set of  $x \in [0, 1]$  whose binary expansions are normal has complement of measure zero. (i.e., almost every  $x \in [0, 1]$  is normal in base 2.)

**Exercise 6.37.** The set of normal base 2 numbers in  $[0, 1]$  form a set of Baire category I.

**Exercise 6.38.** Is a base  $b$  normal sequence also normal in base  $b'$ , for  $b' \neq b$ ?

**Exercise 6.39.** Prove that  $\mathcal{C}$  is Borel-measurable.

**Definition 6.40.**  $\mathfrak{B}$ , by definition, is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , which is generated by open sets. Equivalently,  $\mathfrak{B}$  is generated by intervals  $(a, b)$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

*Proof.*  $(\alpha, \beta) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n)$  where  $a_n \rightarrow \alpha$  from the right and  $b_n \rightarrow \beta$  from the left, where  $a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ . □

*Proof.* By definition,  $\mathcal{C}$  is the complement of a certain open set in  $[0, 1]$ , so by definition  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathfrak{B}$ . □

**Exercise 6.41.** Prove that any open set in  $\mathbb{R}$  is a disjoint union of open intervals.

**Exercise 6.42.** Prove that  $\mathbb{R}$  is not homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Exercise 6.43.** What is  $|\mathfrak{B}|$ ?

$\mathfrak{B}$  is countably generated by real intervals (which is countably generated by rational intervals), so a typical subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  is not in  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

**Exercise 6.44.** Prove that not every subset of  $\mathcal{C}$  is Borel.

That is,  $\mathfrak{B} \subsetneq$  Lebesgue measurable sets.

**Problem 6.45.** Are there non Borel sets?

**Problem 6.46.** Give an example of a sequence  $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of continuous functions such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$  exists for every  $x \in [0, 1]$ . How badly discontinuous of a function can  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$  for  $x \in [0, 1]$  be?

**Problem 6.47.** Take all possible pointwise limits of functions from  $C[0, 1]$ , which we call  $B_1$  (for Baire). Do the same with functions from  $B_1$ ; call the new set of all possible pointwise limits  $B_2$ . Is it true that  $B_1 \subsetneq B_2$ ? Some examples?

**Problem 6.48** (Smith-Volterra-Cantor). Construct sets which are homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{C}$ , but have positive measure. (Construct a Cantor-like set but with  $\sum l_n < 1$ .)

## §7 Hausdorff-Banach-Tarski Paradox

The secret behind the paradox is different properties of isometry groups of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (denoted  $G_2$ ) and  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (denoted  $G_3$ ).

**Theorem 7.1**

$G_3$  contains a free-subgroup, that is, a group isomorphic to  $F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$ .

### §7.1 Rotation matrices

In  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the rotation matrix by  $\varphi$  radians counterclockwise is  $\mathbf{r} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin \varphi & -\cos \varphi \\ \cos \varphi & \sin \varphi \end{bmatrix}$ .

In  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , there are many pairs of  $3 \times 3$  matrices in  $G_3$  which generate a group isomorphic to  $F_2$ . Taking the compactification of  $F_2$  induces the Cantor set.

**Remark.** Many results in real analysis can be formulated in language which uses only the notion of measure zero. As a rule, these results can be proved also in the framework of measure zero only. Some instances of such principle are

- Criterion for Riemann integrability.
- Monotone functions are almost everywhere differentiable.
- Almost every  $x \in [0, 1]$  is normal in base 2.

**Remark.** We discuss different types of *typicality* in the sense of Baire category.

**Exercise 7.2.** Can a shifted Cantor set  $\mathcal{C} + x$  with  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  consist solely of irrationals?

A more generalized question:

**Exercise 7.3.** Let  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\lambda(E) = 0$ . Is it true that for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $(E + x) \cap \mathbb{Q} = \emptyset$ ?

### §8 Smith-Volterra-Cantor sets

**Theorem 8.1** (Smith-Volterra-Cantor)

Let  $a = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i$ , where  $a_i > 0$  and  $a \in (0, 1]$ . Delete the open intervals  $a_1, a_2, \dots$ , akin to how we constructed the classical Cantor set. Let  $\mathcal{K} := \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_i$ . Note that  $\mu(\mathcal{K}) = 1 - a$ . Yet,  $\mathcal{K}$  is homeomorphic to the classical Cantor set, since each interval that we deleted is homeomorphic to the classical deleted interval; hence  $\mathcal{K}$  is a Cantor set.

**Theorem 8.2** (Steinhaus)

If  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$ , then  $A - A$  contains an interval.

**Exercise 8.3.** Is it necessarily true that  $\mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K}$  contains an interval, for  $a = 1$ ? (For  $a < 1$ , since  $\mu(\mathcal{K}) = 1 - a > 0$ , by Steinhaus, the problem is not of interest anymore.)

*Solution.* Not necessarily. Take  $a_1 = 1$ , then  $\mathcal{K} = \{0, 1\}$ , so  $\mathcal{K} - \mathcal{K} = \{-1, 0, 1\}$ , which does not contain an interval.  $\square$

**Problem 8.4.** What if we restrict  $a_i < 1$ ?

**Example 8.5**

Take  $\tilde{I}_n = (\sqrt{2} - \frac{1}{n}, \sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{n}) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ . Then,  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k = \emptyset$ .



**Example 8.6**

Take  $\tilde{I}_n = (2 - \frac{1}{n}, 2 + \frac{1}{n}) \cap (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})$ . Then,  $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 8.7** (Cantor et al.)

If  $I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \dots$  is a sequence of closed nested (nonempty) intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k \neq \emptyset$$

By this,  $\mathcal{C}$  is uncountable, since the process is itinerary for which  $C_i$  is closed for each iteration.

**Exercise 8.8.** Is  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$  a Cantor set?

Yes,  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}$ .

**Exercise 8.9.** Is  $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  a Cantor set?

Lengthen the sequence twice.

**Exercise 8.10.** Can you represent  $\mathcal{C}$  as a finite disjoint union of  $n$  Cantor sets, for all  $n$ ?

*Proof.* Take a left-right self-fractal. □

**Exercise 8.11.** Can you represent  $\mathcal{C}$  as a countable disjoint union of Cantor sets?

*Proof.* Yes. □

**Exercise 8.12.** Can you represent  $\mathcal{C}$  as an uncountable disjoint union of Cantor sets?

*Proof.* Yes. Take  $C_i = \{(i, x)\} \subseteq \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ , and thus  $\bigcup_i C_i \cong \mathcal{C}$  since  $\mathcal{C} \cong \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ . Hence, we are done. □

**Exercise 8.13.** Can you get an uncountable number of disjoint uncountable sets, which all have measure zero, and get measure zero for its union?

*Proof.* Yes, the previous exercise immediately answers this. □

## §9 Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}$

Read *Princeton Lectures in Analysis III: Measure Theory, Integration, and Hilbert Spaces* by Stein.

**Definition 9.1** (Outer measure). Define the *outer measure*  $\mu^* : 2^{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , which satisfies  $\mu^*([a, b]) = b - a$  and  $\mu^*((a, b)) = b - a$ . One may define outer measure for all subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , that is, for a set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , define  $\mu^*(X) := \inf_{x \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{U}_i} \sum_{i \in I} \mu^*(\mathcal{U}_i)$ , where  $\mathcal{U}_i$  are open intervals and  $I$  is an index set (i.e., countable).

An outer measure  $\mu^*$  satisfies the following properties:

1.  $E \subseteq E' \implies \mu^*(E) \leq \mu^*(E')$  (monotonicity)

2. For countably many disjoint sets  $\{E_i\}$ ,

$$\mu^* \left( \bigcup_i E_i \right) \leq \sum_i \mu^*(E_i)$$

(countable sub-additivity)

**Remark.** But we want something better, for example, we'd want

$$\mu \left( \bigcup_i E_i \right) = \sum_i \mu(E_i)$$

to hold for countably many disjoint sets  $\{E_i\}$ .

**Definition 9.2.** A set  $E$  is said to be *Lebesgue measurable* if for any  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(X \cap E) + \mu^*(X^c \cap E)$$

A *Lebesgue measure*  $\mu : 2^{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  exists if and only if  $E$  is Lebesgue measurable, and in that case,  $\mu(E) = \mu^*(E)$ .

**Theorem 9.3**

All Borel sets are Lebesgue measurable.

There exists non-Borel sets that are Lebesgue measurable, since the cardinality of Lebesgue measurable sets is strictly larger than the cardinality of Borel sets.

**Example 9.4 (Vitali set)**

A *Vitali set* is a subset  $V$  of the interval  $[0, 1]$  of real numbers such that, for each real number  $r$ , there is exactly one number  $v \in V$  such that  $v - r$  is a rational number.

Vitali sets are non-Borel but Lebesgue measurable.

A Lebesgue measure  $\mu$  satisfies the following properties:

1.  $E \subseteq E' \implies \mu(E) \leq \mu(E')$  (monotonicity)
2. For countably many disjoint sets  $\{E_i\}$ ,

$$\mu \left( \bigcup_i E_i \right) = \sum_i \mu(E_i)$$

(countable additivity)

3.  $\mu(E + x_0) = \mu(E)$  for all  $x_0$  (translation invariant)

**Definition 9.5.** A set  $E$  is *meager* if  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$  for all  $i$ , and  $E_i$  is nowhere dense.

A set  $E$  is *nonmeager* if it is not meager.

A set  $E$  is called *comeager* (or a residual set) if  $E^c$  is meager.

**Remark.** To actually *construct* a Lebesgue measure, we need Carathéodory’s extension theorem.

### §9.1 Digression

Euler found a continuous fraction expansion for  $e^r$  where  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Definition 9.6.** A *simple* continued fraction is of the form  $\frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \dots}}}$  where the numerators are all 1.

There are three historically important ways of representing numbers and functions:

1. series
2. product
3. continuous fraction

**Example 9.7** (Series representation)

$$\sin x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}$$

**Example 9.8** (Product representation, Euler)

$$\frac{\sin x}{x} = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x}{k\pi}\right) \left(1 + \frac{x}{k\pi}\right)$$

**Example 9.9** (Basel problem)

Comparing coefficients of two different representations, we get the solution to the Basel problem:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

**Example 9.10** (Sophomore’s dream)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-n} = \int_0^1 x^{-x} dx$$

**Example 9.11** (Lindemann)

Lindemann showed that  $\pi^2$  is transcendental, solving the famous Greek problem about the quadrature of the circle.

**Example 9.12** (Apéry)

$$\sum \frac{1}{n^3} \notin \mathbb{Q}$$

**Problem 9.13.** Why is the set of all base 2 normal numbers in  $(0, 1]$  measurable?

**Theorem 9.14** (Cassels)

Almost every  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ , with respect to the natural probability measure on  $\mathcal{C}$ , is base 2 normal.

We define a measure on  $\mathcal{C}$  quite differently than what we usually do (the Borel measure). We give the first left half interval measure  $\frac{1}{2}$  and the right half interval measure  $\frac{1}{2}$  as well. Then, for the second iteration, we assign measure  $\frac{1}{4}$  for each interval.

**Problem 9.15.** Show that the set of normal numbers is uncountable, only using  $c = 0.1234567891011\dots$  is normal.

*Proof.* One may delete any single digit, which still gives us a normal number since normality is a symplectic property. We may pick a  $n^2$ th digit, and either delete it or not. Then, we have uncountably many normal numbers, hence we are done.  $\square$

Let  $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous functions. Assume that pointwise limit exists, i.e.,

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$$

**Exercise 9.16.** Is  $f$  measurable?

**Exercise 9.17.** Is  $f_n$  measurable, given that  $f_n$  is uniformly bounded?

Let  $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} = C_{1;0} \cup C_{1;1}$  where  $C_{1;0} = \{x \in \Omega : x_1 = 0\}$  and  $C_{1;1} = \{x \in \Omega : x_1 = 1\}$ .

**Definition 9.18.** Two measure spaces  $\bar{X}_1 = (X_1, \mathfrak{B}_1, \mu_1)$  and  $\bar{X}_2 = (X_2, \mathfrak{B}_2, \mu_2)$  are isomorphic if there exists a “one-to-one almost everywhere” measure-preserving mapping  $\varphi$  between  $\bar{X}_1$  and  $\bar{X}_2$  such that  $X_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} X_2$  and  $\forall A \in \mathfrak{B}_1, \mu_1(A) = \mu_2(\varphi(A))$ .

That is,  $\exists N_1 \in \mathfrak{B}_1, \mu_1(X_1 \setminus N_1) = 1$  and  $\exists N_2 \in \mathfrak{B}_2, \mu_2(X_2 \setminus N_2) = 1$  such that  $\varphi : X_1 \setminus N_1 \rightarrow X_2 \setminus N_2$  is a one-to-one bimeasurable bijection which preserves measure.

**Remark.** We write  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  for negligible sets.

**Exercise 9.19.** Prove that  $[0, 1] \cong [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \cong \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  as measure spaces.

**Definition 9.20.** Denote  $C_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k; i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}$  as all  $x \in \Omega$  which have  $i_t$  at coordinate  $n_t$ , with  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k$  and  $i_j \in \{0, 1\}$ . Then,  $C_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k; i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}$  generates a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\Omega$ .

We have  $\mu(C_{1;0}) = \mu(C_{1;1}) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Consider the set  $C_{17, 21; 0, 1}$ , that is, all  $x \in \Omega$  which have 0 at coordinate 17 and 1 at coordinate 19. Then,  $\mu(C_{17, 21; 0, 1}) = \frac{1}{4}$ .

**Exercise 9.21.** There are uncountably many measures on the symbolic space of  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . (Think of an unfair coin, with probability  $p$  and  $q$ .)

## §10 Hamel basis

**Definition 10.1.** A *Hamel base* in  $\mathbb{R}$  is a base in the vector space  $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

### Example 10.2

$\dim \mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{R}} = 1$ .  $\dim \mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is not finite.

### Theorem 10.3

Any vector space has a base.

### Theorem 10.4

Any field  $F$  is a vector space over its fixed subfield  $F_0$ . Hence,  $ax + by$  are well-defined  $\forall x, y \in F$  and  $\forall a, b \in F_0$ .

### Theorem 10.5 (Hamel basis)

If  $H \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is a Hamel base, then  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x$  can be uniquely written as  $x = \sum_i a_i h_i$  where  $h_i \in H$  and  $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Exercise 10.6.** Prove that  $|H| = |\mathbb{R}|$ .

**Exercise 10.7.** Prove that  $\{\sqrt{p} \mid p \in \mathbb{P}\}$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -independent.

## §10.1 Cauchy functional equation

**Definition 10.8 (Cauchy FE).** Given  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . We call this a Cauchy functional equation on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Exercise 10.9.** If  $f$  is continuous, then  $f(x) = cx$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Exercise 10.10.** If  $f$  is assumed to be measurable, then  $\exists c$  such that  $f(x) = cx$ .

**Exercise 10.11.** Prove that there exists uncountably many solutions to the Cauchy functional equation.

## §11 Young tableaux

**Definition 11.1 (Alphabet).** Let  $w = u_1 \dots u_n$  where  $u_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .

We have a monoid (free semigroup on infinitely many generators)  $M$  with  $\emptyset \in M$ . We have the operation concatenation, that is, for  $w_1 = u_1 \dots u_n$  and  $w_2 = v_1 \dots v_m$ , we have  $w_1 w_2 = u_1 \dots u_n v_1 \dots v_m$ . There are two transformations  $K' : xyz \rightarrow xzy$  if  $z < x \leq y$ , and  $K'' : xyz \rightarrow yxz$  if  $x \leq z < y$ .

**Definition 11.2** (Knuth equivalence). We call two words  $w_1, w_2$  *Knuth equivalent* if one may obtain  $w_1 \rightsquigarrow w_2$  via  $K'$  and  $K''$ . We denote such equivalence relations as  $w \equiv v$ .

Thus, we have  $w_1 \equiv w_2$  and  $v_1 \equiv v_2$  then  $w_1v_1 \equiv w_1v_2 \equiv w_2v_2 \equiv w_2v_1$ .

We may take the plactic monoid  $M = F/R$ .

**Definition 11.3** (Young diagram). A *Young diagram* (or sometimes called a *Ferrers diagram*) is a left-aligned collection of boxes with weakly decreasing rows. Formally, a Young diagram is  $\{\lambda \vdash n \mid \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m\}$  where  $\lambda \vdash n$  means  $\lambda$  varies over all partitions of  $n$ , which is equivalent to a Young diagram with  $n$  boxes.

**Example 11.4**

An example of a Young diagram is  $(4, 4, 2, 2)$ .

**Definition 11.5** (Young tableaux). A *Young tableaux* is a “filling” of a Young diagram with positive integers such that the numbers are weakly increasing along rows and strictly increasing down columns.

**Remark.** Young tableaux were first introduced by Alfred Young in 1900.

**Definition 11.6** (“Bumping”). Define a *bumping* (row-insertion / Schensted operation) of a tableau as follows. Given  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and  $T$ , we “insert”  $x$  (denoted as  $T \leftarrow x$ ) and get a different tableau, performing either one of the following two operations:

1. If  $x$  is greater than equal to all of the first row, then place  $x$  on the end.
2. Otherwise, place  $x$  as far to the right as possible, and then “bump” the entry of that box to the next row.

Define the *word* of a tableau as the sequence of entries read left to right, **bottom to top** (this gives the uniqueness). Note the natural isomorphism between the Knuth equivalence we defined and the entries of a tableau.

**Theorem 11.7**

In each Knuth equivalence class, there exists a unique word that corresponds to a tableau.

Let  $M_m$  be a monoid, and consider  $\mathbb{Z}[M_m]$ . The morphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}[M_m] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ . We have  $x^T = \prod_{i=1}^m x_i^{c_i(T)}$ . Then,  $\varphi\left(\sum_{T \text{ shape } \lambda} T\right) = S_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ , where  $C_\lambda$  is the number of  $i$ 's in  $T$ , and  $S_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_m) \cdot h_p(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum S_M(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ .

Given a word in  $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , we can get a tableau associated to this word, along with a “recording” tableau (of the same shape).

**Theorem 11.8** (Robinson correspondence)

There exists a one-to-one correspondence between words of length  $n$  with entries  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $(P, Q)$  of standard tableau of same shape with  $n$  boxes.

**Theorem 11.9** (Tableaux identity)

If  $f^\lambda$  is the number of standard tableaux of shape  $\lambda$ , then

$$n! = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} (f^\lambda)^2$$

**Theorem 11.10** (Robinson–Schensted correspondence)

There exists a one-to-one correspondence between words of length  $n$  with entries in  $[m]$  and  $(P, Q)$  with same shape, where  $Q$  is standard and  $P$  has entries in  $[m]$ .

**Theorem 11.11** (Robinson–Schensted–Knuth correspondence)

There exists a one-to-one correspondence between two rowed arrays in lexicographical order with row length  $n$  and  $(P, Q)$  which is a tableau of same shape with  $n$  boxes.

We can reconstruct a tableau from  $(P, Q)$  as follows. Given an order 2 row array, on the bottom row, we get a  $T$  corresponding to the bottom row. Then, fill the recording tableau with entries in the top row. Repeat this procedure until we don't have any entries left.

**Theorem 11.12** (Cauchy)

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} = \sum_{\lambda} S_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_n) S_{\lambda}(y_1, \dots, y_m)$$

**Definition 11.13.** A probability space is a measure space  $(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$  with  $\mu(X) = 1$ .

**Definition 11.14.** For a transformation  $T : X \rightarrow X$ , we say  $T$  *preserves measure* when  $\forall A \in \mathfrak{B}, \mu(T^{-1}(A)) = \mu(A)$ .

**Example 11.15**

Consider  $T(x) = 2x \bmod 1$ .

**Definition 11.16.** For two measure spaces  $(X_1, \mathfrak{B}_1, \mu_1)$  and  $(X_2, \mathfrak{B}_2, \mu_2)$ , we say a mapping  $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  is *measure-preserving* if  $\exists Y_i \subseteq X_i$  such that  $\mu_i(X_i \setminus Y_i) = 0$  and  $\mu_1(Y_i) = \mu_2(f(Y_i))$ .

**Definition 11.17** (Outer measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ). Take any set  $E \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, define the outer measure of  $E$  to be

$$\mu^*(E) := \inf_{E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Q_i|$$

where  $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is any countable collection of closed cubes.

**Remark.** Note that  $\mu^*$  does not have the “ideal” condition for a measure. In fact, it doesn’t even have finite additivity.

**Definition 11.18** (Lebesgue measurable sets). A set  $E$  is called *Lebesgue measurable* if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an open set  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $E \subseteq \mathcal{O}$  such that  $\mu^*(\mathcal{O} \setminus E) < \varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 11.19** (Criterion for Lebesgue measurability)

A set  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is *Lebesgue measurable* if and only if  $E$  differs from a  $G_\delta$  (countable intersection of open sets) or  $F_\sigma$  (countable union of closed sets) set with a set of Lebesgue measure zero.

**Theorem 11.20**

$f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called to be measurable if  $\forall a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f^{-1}((-\infty, a))$  is measurable. Equivalently,  $f$  is measurable if for all open sets  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{O})$  is measurable.

**Exercise 11.21.** Prove that the following are properties of measurable functions:

1. If  $f$  is measurable, then  $f^k$  is measurable.
2. If  $f$  and  $g$  are measurable, then  $f + g$  is measurable.
3. If  $f$  and  $g$  are measurable, then  $f \cdot g$  is measurable. (Pointwise multiplication)
4. If  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of measurable functions, then  $\limsup f_n(x)$ ,  $\liminf f_n(x)$ ,  $\sup f_n(x)$ ,  $\inf f_n(x)$  are measurable.
5. If  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of measurable functions, then if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$  exists, then  $f$  is also measurable.

**Exercise 11.22.** Prove that the following are properties of continuous functions:

1. If  $f$  is continuous, then  $f^k$  is continuous.
2. If  $f$  and  $g$  are continuous, then  $f + g$  is continuous.
3. If  $f$  and  $g$  are continuous, then  $f \cdot g$  is continuous. (Pointwise multiplication)
4. If  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of uniformly continuous functions, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$  exists, and  $f$  is also continuous.

## §12 Cesàro limits

**Definition 12.1.** If  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \rightarrow a$ , we say that  $a$  is the *Cesàro limit* of  $(a_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ , which we denote as  $\text{clim}(a_i) = a$ .

**Example 12.2**

Consider the sequence  $a_i = \{1, -1, 1, \dots\}$ .

Taking the Cesàro limit, we have  $\text{clim}(a_i) = 0$ .



1.  $\text{clim}(a_i + b_i) = \text{clim}(a_i) + \text{clim}(b_i)$
2.  $\text{clim}(a_i \cdot b_i) \neq \text{clim}(a_i) \cdot \text{clim}(b_i)$

**Example 12.3** (Szemerédi)

$$\bar{d}(A) := \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A \cap \{1, 2, \dots, N\}|}{N} = \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{1}_A(n).$$

**Example 12.4** (Normal sequences)

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{t_i}{2^i} \text{ is base } t \text{ normal if } d_k := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}_k(t_i) = \frac{1}{t} \text{ for all } k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, t-1\}$$

$$\text{where } \mathbb{1}_k(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = k \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq k \end{cases}$$

**Exercise 12.5.** Prove that arbitrarily permuting the elements of a sequence can “kill” positive density.

**Exercise 12.6.** Prove that  $x \in [0, 1]$  is normal in base 2 if and only if the sequence  $2^n x \bmod 1$  is uniformly distributed in  $[0, 1]$ .

**Definition 12.7.** A sequence  $(x_n) \subseteq [0, 1]$  is *uniformly distributed* if  $\forall a, b$  such that  $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\{1 \leq n \leq N : x_n \in (a, b)\}|}{N} = b - a$$

or equivalently,

$$\forall f \in C[0, 1], \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum f(x_n) \rightarrow \int_0^1 f dx$$

which is also equivalent to

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum \mathbb{1}_{(a,b)}^{(x_n)} \rightarrow \int_0^1 \mathbb{1}_{(a,b)}^{(x)} dx = b - a$$

**Theorem 12.8**

Almost every  $(x_n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is uniformly distributed mod 1.

Natural examples of uniformly distributed sequences mod 1 are:

**Example 12.9** (Weyl)

$\{n\alpha\}$  and  $\{n^2\alpha\}$ , where  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Exercise 12.10.** Prove that  $\{n\alpha\}$  is uniformly distributed in  $[0, 1]$ , where  $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Example 12.11** (Fejer)

$\{n^c\}$ , where  $c > 0$ ,  $c \notin \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 12.12** (Weierstrass' approximation theorem)

$\forall f \in C[0, 1], \forall \varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a polynomial  $g(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  such that

$$\max_{x \in [0,1]} |f(x) - g(x)| < \varepsilon$$

**Definition 12.13.** A metric space  $(X, d)$  is *separable* if there exists a countable dense subset in  $X$ , i.e.,  $S \subseteq X$ ,  $S$  countable, and  $\bar{S} = X$ .

**Example 12.14**

A nonseparable space. Let  $S$  be an uncountable set equipped with a discrete metric.

**Exercise 12.15.** Is  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  separable?

**Example 12.16**

$C[0, 1]$  is separable, since every function can be approximated by polynomials by Weierstrass' approximation theorem, which can again be approximated by rational polynomials.

## §13 Fourier series

**Remark.** We want to know when and how  $\sum_1^\infty (a_n \sin(nx) + b_n \cos(nx)) = f(x) \in C[0, 1]$  holds.

Ideally, we want  $\sum_{n=0}^N (a_n \sin(n) + b_n \cos(nx)) = \sigma_N(f) \rightarrow f(x)$  uniformly for all  $x$ . However, it is not the case.

**Theorem 13.1** (Fejer)

Let  $\sigma_N(f) := \sum_{n=0}^N (a_n(f) \sin(nx) + b_n(f) \cos(nx))$  for  $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$ , then

$$\frac{\sigma_1(f) + \sigma_2(f) + \cdots + \sigma_N(f)}{N} \rightrightarrows f(x)$$

uniformly, in the norm of  $C[-\pi, \pi]$ .

**Theorem 13.2** (Trigonometric form of Weierstrass)

For any  $f \in C[-\pi, \pi], \forall \varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a trigonometric polynomial  $T(x)$  such that  $\max_{x \in [-\pi, \pi]} |f(x) - T(x)| < \varepsilon$ .

**Exercise 13.3.** Prove that Fejer's theorem implies Weierstrass' approximation theorem.

**Example 13.4**

Note that  $\frac{x}{2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \sin(nx)$  on  $[-\pi, \pi]$ . Thus,  $\frac{x^2}{4} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n^2} \cos(nx)$ , and plugging in  $x = \frac{\pi}{2}$ , we get a proof for the Basel problem.

**Theorem 13.5**

If  $f_n(x) \in C[0, 1]$  and  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$  exists  $\forall x \in [0, 1]$ , then  $f(x)$  has many points of continuity. More precisely, the set of points of continuity of  $f$  is a dense  $G_\delta$  set (countable intersection of open sets).

$\delta$  means intersection and  $\sigma$  means union.

$G_{\sigma\delta\sigma}$  is the countable union of countable intersection of countable union of open sets.

**Theorem 13.6**

If  $f_n \in C[0, 1]$  and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $C[0, 1]$ , then  $f \in C[0, 1]$ .

**Definition 13.7 (Baire class).** Baire class 0: continuous functions  $C[0, 1]$ . Baire class 1: pointwise limits of functions from Baire class 0. Baire class 2: pointwise limits of functions from Baire class 1. ...

**Example 13.8**

Let  $f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 1 & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$ , then  $f$  is in Baire class 2.

**§14 Invariant subspace problem**

**Remark.** Every linear transformation  $T : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  has an eigenvalue and its associated eigenvector.

**Definition 14.1.**  $\exists x \neq 0$  such that  $Tx = \lambda x$  for some  $\lambda$ . Let  $V = \text{Span}(x)$ , then  $T(V) \subseteq V$ , where we call  $V$  an *invariant subspace*.

**Problem 14.2.** Given a Banach space  $X$ , a bounded linear operator  $T$  on  $X$ , does there exist a closed invariant subspace, i.e., for  $U \subsetneq X$ ,  $T(U) \subseteq U$ ?

**Definition 14.3.** A *Banach space*  $X$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ , with  $\|\cdot\|_X$  that is complete.

**Example 14.4**

$\ell^p$  spaces (sequence spaces) are Banach spaces.

**Example 14.5**

$L^p$  spaces (function spaces) are Banach spaces as well.

**Definition 14.6.** A linear operator  $T$  on  $X$  is a linear mapping  $X \rightarrow X$  such that  $T(v + w) = T(v) + T(w)$  and  $T(\lambda v) = \lambda T(v)$ .

**Definition 14.7.** A linear operator  $T$  is bounded if

$$\|T\|_{op} = \sup_{x \in X, \|x\|=1} \|Tx\| < \infty$$

**Example 14.8**

Here are examples of unbounded operators:  $(Tx_n) = (2^n x_n)$  in  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ .

**Theorem 14.9**

A linear operator  $T$  is bounded  $\iff T$  is continuous.

**Proposition 14.10**

If  $X$  is non-separable, then it has a closed invariant subspace  $\forall T$ .

*Proof.* Consider the closure of the span of  $\{T^n x\}$ , which is separable. □

**Definition 14.11.** A linear operator  $T$  is compact if  $\overline{T(B_1)}$  is compact.

**Example 14.12**

Linear operators with its image having finite rank are compact.

**Theorem 14.13** (Schauder, 1930)

If  $X$  is a Banach space and  $F$  is a continuous linear operator, satisfying  $F(C) \subseteq K \subseteq C$ , where  $K$  is a compact set and  $C$  is a convex set, then there exists a fixed point, i.e.,  $F(x) = x$  in  $C$ .

**Theorem 14.14** (Lomonosov, 1973)

If  $T \in B(X, X)$  (i.e., any Banach space) is compact, then it has a closed invariant subspace.

*Proof.* Assume FTSOC that  $T$  has no eigenvector.  $\forall y \in X$ , consider  $M_y = \{Sy : ST = TS\}$ , which is closed (exercise: show that it forms an algebra). It suffices to prove that  $M_y \neq X$  for some  $y$ . Suppose  $M_y = X \forall y$ . Choose  $B_1(x_0) \not\subseteq 0$ .

**Claim 14.15** — For all  $y$ , there exists a neighborhood  $W$  of  $y$  such that  $S(W) \subset B$  for some  $S$ .

*Proof.* For all  $S$  with  $ST = TS$  and  $Sy \in B$  for some  $y$ ,  $\exists W_S$  such that  $S(W_S) \subseteq B$  and  $\{W_S\}$  is an open cover for  $X$ . Then,  $\{W_S\}$  covers  $\overline{T(B)}$ , but since  $T$  is a compact

operator and  $B$  is a Banach space, we may find a finite subcover that covers  $\overline{T(B)}$ , which means  $\{W_1, \dots, W_n\}$  covers  $\overline{T(B)}$ .

Define  $\Phi(y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{q_i(y)}{q(y)} S_i(y)$ , where  $q_i(y) = \max(0, 1 - \|S_i(y) - x_0\|)$  and  $q(y) = \sum_i q_i(y)$ , where  $x_0$  is the center of  $B$ . Then, since  $\Phi$  is continuous,  $\Phi(\overline{T(B)}) \subset B$  is also compact, thus by Schauder fixed point theorem,  $\Phi \circ T(B) \subseteq \text{compact set} \subseteq B$ .

Now,  $\Phi \circ T(x^*) = x^*$ , so  $\sum (\frac{q_i(x^*)}{q(x^*)}) S_i \circ T x = x$ , which has a finite dimensional eigenspace due to spectral theory, contradiction. ■

□

**Theorem 14.16** (Per Enflo)

Per Enflo claims to have solved the general problem in Hilbert spaces.

## §15 Measures

Read *Measure & Category* by Oxtoby, and *Real Analysis* by Royden. (NOT Royden & Fitzpatrick.)

**Theorem 15.1** (Lebesgue's "points of density" theorem)

Given  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$ , then for almost every  $x \in A$ ,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(A \cap (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon))}{2\varepsilon} = 1$$

**Corollary 15.2** (Steinhaus)

If  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mu(A) > 0$ , then  $A - A \supset (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Problem 15.3.**  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , is there  $E$  such that  $\mu(E \cap I) = \frac{1}{2}\mu(I)$  for all  $I = (a, b)$  where  $|b - a| < \varepsilon$ .

No, since  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\frac{\mu(A \cap (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon))}{\mu(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)} = 1$$

which is directly implied by Lebesgue's "points of density" theorem.

**Exercise 15.4.** True or false: If  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mu(A) > 0$ , then  $A$  contains a Cantor set.

**Lemma 15.5** (Regularity of Lebesgue measure)

If  $A \subset [0, 1]$ , then  $A$  contains a compact subset  $K$  such that  $|\mu(A) - \mu(K)| < \varepsilon$ .

**Lemma 15.6** (Dual of regularity of Lebesgue measure)

If  $A \subset [0, 1]$ , then there exists an open set  $\mathcal{O}$  such that  $A \subset \mathcal{O}$  and  $|\mu(A) - \mu(\mathcal{O})| < \varepsilon$ .

**Exercise 15.7.** True or false: If  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is uncountable and compact, then  $A$  contains a Cantor set.

**Exercise 15.8 (Stronger version).** True or false: If  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mu(A) > 0$ , then  $A$  contains a Cantor set of positive measure.

**Lemma 15.9**

If  $\mu(A) = a > 0$ , then  $\exists A_1 \subset A$  such that  $\mu(A_1) = \frac{a}{2}$ . Moreover, for any  $t \in [0, a]$ ,  $\exists A_t \subset A$  such that  $\mu(A_t) = t$ . That is, the range of  $\mu$  on  $\{A \cap C \mid C \in \mathfrak{B}\}$  is  $[0, \mu(A)]$ .

**Exercise 15.10.** Is there a fat Cantor set in  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ ?

First, it is easy to create a Cantor subset in  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ .

Take  $\mathbb{Q} \cap [-\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}]$ , which we let to be  $r_1, r_2, \dots$

Then, take  $\varepsilon_1$  small enough so that  $(r_1 - \varepsilon_1, r_1 + \varepsilon_1)$  fits in the interval  $[-\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}]$ ; take another interval centered at a rational point disjoint to all previous ones, and repeat. Now, just take these intervals so that the sum of their measures is less than  $\mu([-\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}])$ , then we have a fat Cantor set.

**Definition 15.11.** Define a general measure  $\mu_f((a, b)) := f(b) - f(a)$  for any monotone (not necessarily continuous) function  $f$ .

**Remark.** Why do we specifically care about Lebesgue measure? Because  $f(x) = x$  is the unique function that satisfies translation invariance of measure, that is,  $\mu(A) = \mu(A + t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . (Proof: Cauchy functional equation directly implies that  $f(x) = x + c$ .)

**§15.1 Three Littlewood’s principles**

The following principles are *philosophical* principles, not mathematical ones, formulated by J. E. Littlewood.

- Sets of positive measure are “locally” intervals. (cf. Lebesgue’s “points of density” theorem)
- Measurable functions are “almost” continuous. (cf. Lusin’s theorem)
- Pointwise convergence for a sequence of measurable functions is “almost” uniform convergence (for  $\{f_n\}$  defined on  $[0, 1]$ ). (cf. Egorov’s theorem)

**Theorem 15.12 (Egorov’s theorem)**

For  $(X, A, \mu)$  where  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of measurable functions  $f_n : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , with  $\{f_n(x)\} \rightarrow f(x)$  almost everywhere. Then,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , one may find some closed set  $F_\varepsilon \subseteq X$  such that  $\mu(X \setminus F_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$  and  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow \{f\}$  uniformly on  $F_\varepsilon$ , that is,  $\limsup_{x \in F_\varepsilon} |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* For each  $n, k \geq 0$ , define  $E_k^n := \{x \in X : |f_j(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{n} \text{ for all } j, k\}$ .

Then, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one may always find some  $N$  such that  $\overline{A_\varepsilon} := \bigcap_{n \geq N} E_k^n$  and  $\mu(X \setminus \overline{A_\varepsilon}) < \varepsilon/2$ . [Exercise: show that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $\overline{A_\varepsilon}$ .] Now, choose a closed subset  $F_\varepsilon \subseteq \overline{A_\varepsilon}$  such that  $\mu(\overline{A_\varepsilon} \setminus F_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon/2$ , then  $\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  on  $F_\varepsilon$ , where  $F_i$  is a closed subset, with  $\mu(X \setminus F_\varepsilon) < \mu(X \setminus \overline{A_\varepsilon}) + \mu(\overline{A_\varepsilon} \setminus F_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ , so we are done.  $\square$

**Theorem 15.13** (Lusin's theorem)

Let  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a measurable set,  $f$  be measurable and finite valued on  $X$ , that is,  $f(x) < \infty$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists F_\varepsilon \subseteq X$  closed such that  $F_\varepsilon \subseteq X$  and  $\mu(X \setminus F_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$  such that  $f|_{F_\varepsilon}$  is continuous.

**Exercise 15.14.** Can you “modify” a function on a measure zero set to make it continuous?

**Exercise 15.15.** If  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mu(A) > 0$ , then  $A$  contains an affine image of any finite set  $F$ , that is,  $F = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \implies A \supset aF + b$  for some  $a \neq 0, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Remark.** The groups of rigid motions  $G(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $G(\mathbb{R}^3)$  have an important distinction:  $G(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is amenable, and  $G(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is not amenable. This is the background of the Hausdorff-Banach-Tarski paradox.

**Definition 15.16.** A countable group  $G$  is *amenable* if there exists a finitely additive probability measure on  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  such that  $\forall g \in G$  and  $\forall A \subset G$ ,  $\mu(A) = \mu(Ag) = \mu(gA)$ , where  $gA = \{gx \mid x \in A\}$ .

**Remark.** The advantages are that every group in the discrete topology is measurable, and that you get a probability measure. One disadvantage is that the measure is only finitely additive.

Any large set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is combinatorially rich, i.e., it contains an affine image of any finite set  $F \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

**Exercise 15.17.** Prove that for any  $E \subset \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\bar{d}(E) > 0$ ,  $E$  is combinatorially rich, i.e., it contains an affine image of any finite set  $F \subset \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* By Szemerédi's theorem, we may “insert”  $F$  into  $E$  by translation and scaling, so we are done.  $\square$

**Exercise 15.18.** Are there sets of zero measure in  $\mathbb{R}$  that are combinatorially rich?

**Problem 15.19.** Is the Cantor set combinatorially rich?

**Exercise 15.20.** Prove that the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is invariant with respect to all rigid motions, which is a unique property up to normalization.

**Problem 15.21.** Give a counterexample for sets  $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that both  $A$  and  $B$  are Lebesgue measurable, yet  $A + B$  is not Lebesgue measurable.

**Definition 15.22.**  $L^2[0, 1]$  are the classes of equivalent measurable functions  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which are square-integrable, i.e.,  $\int_0^1 |f|^2 < \infty$ . Then, we have the norm  $\|f\|_{L^p} := (\int_X |f|^p d\mu)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ , and  $\|f\|_{L^p}$  is an equivalence class:

- $\|f\|_{L^p} = 0 \iff f \equiv 0$  ( $\implies$ ) by definition)
- $\|\lambda f\|_{L^p} = |\lambda| \|f\|_{L^p}$  (homogeneity)
- $\|f + g\|_{L^p} \leq \|f\|_{L^p} + \|g\|_{L^p}$  for  $p \in [1, \infty)$  (triangle inequality)

The third inequality is Minkowski's inequality, which can be shown by weighted AM-GM and Hölder.

The formal statements of those inequalities are as follows:

**Lemma 15.23** (Weighted AM-GM)

For all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,  $A^\lambda B^{1-\lambda} \leq \lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B$ .

**Lemma 15.24** (Hölder)

For  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , we have  $\|fg\|_{L^1} \leq \|f\|_{L^p} \cdot \|g\|_{L^q}$ .

**Lemma 15.25** (Minkowski)

For  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\|f + g\|_{L^p} \leq \|f\|_{L^p} + \|g\|_{L^p}$ .

**Definition 15.26.**  $\ell^2 = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) : \sum_{i=1}^\infty |x_i|^2 < \infty\}$ .

**Exercise 15.27.** Prove that  $d(f, g) = \sqrt{\int_0^1 |f - g|^2 dx}$  is a metric.

**Definition 15.28.** Define  $L^p(X, \mathfrak{B}, \mu)$  to be the set of functions  $f$  with

$$\int_X |f|^p d\mu < \infty$$

where  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite (meaning it has countable additivity).

**Remark.** If we allow  $\mu$  to be infinite, then  $\ell^p = L^p(\mathbb{N}, \mathfrak{B}, \text{counting measure})$ .

Let  $f : C[-\pi, \pi]$  with  $f \in L^2[-\pi, \pi]$ . Then, we have the following analogues between  $L^2$  spaces and  $\ell^2$  spaces. . . .

- Metric of  $L^2$ :  $d(f_1, f_2) := \sqrt{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f_1 - f_2|^2} = \|f_1 - f_2\|_{L^2}$ .
- Inner product of  $L^2$ :  $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle := \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_1 \overline{f_2}$ .
- Orthogonal basis of  $L^2[-\pi, \pi]$  is given by  $\{1, \cos(nx), \sin(nx)\}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , that is, any  $f \in L^2$  can be expanded into infinite convergent series of the form  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n \sin(nx) + b_n \cos(nx)$ .

**Remark.** Functional analysis is linear algebra in infinite-dimensional spaces.



**Theorem 15.29**

In  $L^2[-\pi, \pi]$ ,  $\|\sigma_N(f) - f\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Problem 15.30.** Is the analogue of the above theorem true in  $C[-\pi, \pi]$ ?

**Definition 15.31.** An extreme point  $x$  of a convex set  $C$  is a point which has no nontrivial representations of the form  $x = \alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2$  where  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $x_1, x_2 \in C$ , and  $x_1 \neq x_2$ .

Compact convex sets (convex bodies, usually assumed to have nontrivial interior) in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  have extreme points.

The set of extreme points of nontrivial convex body is of 2D measure zero.

**Exercise 15.32.** Why is there always an extreme point?

**Remark.** The extreme points form a “basis” of a convex set.

**Exercise 15.33.** Prove that Lebesgue’s density theorem implies Steinhaus.

[Hint: Alternative formulation of Steinhaus is  $\mu(A \cap A - t) > 0$  for all small enough  $t$ , that is,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mu(A \cap A - t) = \mu(A)$ .]

**Exercise 15.34.** Is there a nonmeasurable set whose set of differences contain a nontrivial interval?

Cauchy functional equations give the self-homomorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Exercise 15.35.** What are all the self-homomorphisms of  $\mathbb{C}$ ?

**Exercise 15.36.** Prove that there exists a set  $A$  such that  $A$  is measurable, but  $A + A$  is nonmeasurable.

**Lemma 15.37**

The classical Cantor set contains a basis of  $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

*Proof.* First, note that since  $\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{C} = [0, 2]$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  is a spanning set of  $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Second, any spanning set contains a basis. ■

*Proof.* Let  $H \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  be a Hamel base of  $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Note that  $\mu(H) = 0$ . Let  $\Gamma_1 = QH = \{rh : r \in \mathbb{Q}, h \in H\}$ . Note that  $\mu(\Gamma_1) = 0$ , since  $\Gamma_1 = \bigcup_r rH$  where a countable union of measure zero sets is of measure zero. Let  $\Gamma_2 = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_1$ . Note that  $\mu(\Gamma_2) = 0$ . Inductively, define  $\Gamma_n := \Gamma_{n-1} + \Gamma_{n-1}$ . Suppose  $\Gamma_n$  are all measurable, then  $\mu(\Gamma_n) = 0$ .

But then, since every element in  $\mathbb{R}$  is representable as a finite linear combination of  $H$ , thus  $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_n$ .

If  $\mu(\Gamma_n) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_n) = 0$ , that is,  $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = 0$ , contradiction.

If  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mu(\Gamma_n) > 0$ , then by Steinhaus,  $\Gamma_{n+1} = \Gamma_n + \Gamma_n = \mathbb{R}$ , but then  $\mathbb{R}$  is finitely generated, which means  $\dim \mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}} < \infty$ , contradiction to the fact that  $\dim \mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \infty$ .

Hence,  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\Gamma_n$  is measurable yet  $\Gamma_n + \Gamma_n$  is not measurable, which is exactly what we wanted to show. □

**Remark.** Read the principle of condensation of singularities.

**Definition 15.38.** A Borel measure  $\nu$  on  $[0, 1]$  is *non-atomic*, if  $\forall A \in \mathfrak{B}$  with  $\nu(A) > 0$ ,  $\exists \tilde{A} \subset A$  such that  $0 < \nu(\tilde{A}) < \nu(A)$ .

**Exercise 15.39.** Assume that  $\nu$  is a non-atomic probability measure on  $\mathfrak{B}([0, 1])$ . Then,  $\{\nu(A), A \in \mathfrak{B}\} = [0, 1]$ .

**Theorem 15.40** (Lyapunov's theorem about vector measures)

Assume that  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n$  are non-atomic probability measures on  $\mathfrak{B}([0, 1])$ . Then, the range of  $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n)$ , denoted as  $k = \{\nu_1(A), \nu_2(A), \dots, \nu_n(A) : A \in \mathfrak{B}\}$ , is compact and convex (in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ).

The ranges of such vector measures are called *zonoids*.

By Jiwu Jang, Internal Use